



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,695	01/10/2002	Rotem Cooper	010110	7705

23696 7590 04/21/2005

Qualcomm Incorporated
Patents Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121-1714

EXAMINER

IQBAL, KHAWAR

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2686

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/043,695

Applicant(s)

COOPER, ROTEM

Examiner

Khawar Iqbal

Art Unit

2686

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 December 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being unpatentable by La Medica, Jr. et al (6625451).
3. Regarding claim 1 Medica, Jr. et al teaches in a mobile station including a preferred roaming list, a system acquisition procedure comprising the steps of (abstract, fig. 6):
 - maintaining a list of unusable wireless communications systems, each entry in the list of unusable wireless communications systems including a system identifier and corresponding avoidance criterion (col. 17, line13-col. 18, line 13, col. 19, lines 10-35);
 - selecting a wireless communications system from the preferred roaming list in accordance with a predetermined system acquisition sequence and repeating the step of selecting until a usable system is selected (col. 17, line13-col. 18, line 13, col. 19, lines 10-35); and
 - attempting to acquire and register with the selected wireless communications system, wherein the selected wireless communications system is unusable if the list of

Art Unit: 2686

unusable wireless communications systems includes a corresponding system identifier and the corresponding avoidance criterion is satisfied (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 13, col. 19, lines 10-35).

Regarding claims 2, 13 Medica, Jr. et al teaches wherein each system identifier identifies at least one wireless communications system (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 13, col. 19, lines 10-35).

Regarding claim 3 Medica, Jr. et al teaches wherein each wireless system identifier includes a frequency (col. 15, lines 53-65, col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 13, col. 19, lines 10-35).

Regarding claim 4 Medica, Jr. et al teaches wherein each wireless system identifier includes a SID/NID pair that uniquely identifies a wireless communications system (identifies foreign wireless communication systems is NID) (col. 15, lines 53-65).

Regarding claims 5, 14, 18 Medica, Jr. et al teaches detecting a communications failure with a wireless communications system and adding a new entry to the list of unusable wireless communications systems, the new entry including an identifier of the failed wireless communications system and corresponding avoidance criterion (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 13, col. 19, lines 10-35).

Regarding claims 6, 15, 19 Medica, Jr. et al teaches assigning an avoidance duration to the detected system failure and calculating an avoidance time before which the failed wireless communications system is unusable, the avoidance time equal to a

Art Unit: 2686

current time plus the avoidance duration, wherein the avoidance criterion includes the avoidance time (col. 18, lines 30-65).

Regarding claims 7,16 Medica, Jr. et al teaches wherein the avoidance criterion is satisfied if the stored avoidance time is greater than the current time (col. 18, lines 30-65).

Regarding claims 8,20 Medica, Jr. et al teaches maintaining a list of detectable wireless communications failures, each detectable wireless communications failure having a corresponding avoidance duration; locating the detected system failure in the list of wireless communications failures; and using the corresponding avoidance duration in the step of calculating (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 65, col. 19, lines 10-35).

Regarding claim 9 Medica, Jr. et al teaches wherein the step of detecting includes the step of detecting failed attempts to acquire and register with the selected wireless communications system (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 13, col. 19, lines 10-35).

Regarding claim 10 Medica, Jr. et al teaches wherein the steps of selecting and attempting are repeated until the mobile device successfully acquires and registers with the selected wireless communication (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 13, col. 19, lines 10-35).

Regarding claim 11 Medica, Jr. et al teaches wherein the wireless communications systems are selected from the preferred systems list in a predetermined order of desirability (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 13, col. 19, lines 10-35).

Regarding claim 12 Medica, Jr. et al teaches in a mobile station, a method for marking wireless communications systems as unusable comprising the steps of (fig. 6):

maintaining a list of unusable wireless communications systems, each entry in the list of unusable wireless communications systems including a system identifier and corresponding avoidance criterion (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 13, col. 19, lines 10-35); detecting a communications failure associated with a currently selected wireless communications system (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 13, col. 19, lines 10-35); and adding a record to the stored list of unusable systems, the added record including an identifier of the currently selected wireless communications system and corresponding avoidance criterion based on the detected communications failure, wherein the currently selected wireless communications system is unusable while the corresponding avoidance criterion is satisfied (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 65, col. 19, lines 10-35).

Regarding claim 21 Medica, Jr. et al teaches wherein processing circuitry is further adapted to delete an entry from the list of unusable communications system when the corresponding avoidance time is than the current time (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 65, col. 19, lines 10-35).

Regarding claim 17 Medica, Jr. et al teaches a mobile station comprising (figs. 1-6): a memory storing a preferred roaming list, the preferred roaming list including a first plurality of system identifiers and corresponding acquisition parameters (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 65, col. 19, lines 10-35); and processing circuitry adapted to create and maintain a list of unusable systems, the list of unusable systems being stored in the memory and including a second plurality of system identifiers and corresponding avoidance criterion, wherein a wireless communications system is unusable if it is

Art Unit: 2686

identified by a system identifier in the list of unusable systems and the corresponding avoidance criterion is satisfied (col. 17, line 13-col. 18, line 65, col. 19, lines 10-35).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAWAR IQBAL whose telephone number is 571-272-7909.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **BANKS-HAROLD, MARSHA**, can be reached at 571-272-7905.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231


or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2684 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Khawar Iqbal


RAFAEL PEREZ-GUTIERREZ
PATENT EXAMINER
7/16/05